

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/048,167	04/18/2002	Etienne Lcbas	612.41094 X00	4377	
20457	7590 09/17/2004		EXAM	INER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP			LANGEL,	LANGEL, WAYNE A	
1300 NORTH SUITE 1800	SEVENTEENTH STRE	ET	ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	VA 22209-9889		1754		
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/048,167	LEBAS ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
The Manual Property of the Control o	Wayne Langel	1754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	l) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep	ation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Se	ee MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action: or (ension fee under 2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in of the appeal.				
2. \boxtimes The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or si	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of t	inally rejected claim	ıs.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>2-13</u> would b canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	e allowable if submitted in a sep	parate, timely filed a	mendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or by ould be rejected is provided below) ☐ will be entered a bw or appended.	ınd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:	•					
Claim(s) objected to: 10-13.						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-9 and 14-19.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	•				
10. Other:		Mayre agel	Jungel			
		Wayne Langel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1754				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/048,167

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly recited limitation in claim 14 of "means for fractionating the used absorbent, arranged upstream of the means for mixing, into at least two fractions, some of said fractions being rich in catalyst, the other being poor in catalyst" would require further consideration and search of the prior art. Applicant's argument, that the examiner has previously considered a process limitation corresponding to the limitation added to claim 14, is not convincing, since claim 14 is broader than claim 10 in the sense that claim 14 does not require the prosess steps recited in claim 10, but only the apparatus structure necessary to carry out such process. Accordingly it does not necessarily follow that claim 14 would be allowable because claim 10 is.i.